Racial Disparities in Breast Cancer and Effect of Obesity: FLEX SAN ANTONIO MammaPrint®, BluePrint® and Whole Transcriptome Analyses of Tumors in Latin American Patients in FLEX Tria BREAST CANCER SYMPOSIUM*

Marcela Mazo Canola¹, Virginia Kaklamani¹, Pooja P. Advani², Sailaja Kamaraju³, Alfredo A. Santillan-Gomez⁴, Robert Maganini⁵, Julie L. Barone⁶, Sahra Uygun⁷, Lavanya Samraj⁷, William Audeh⁷, Joyce O'Shaughnessy⁸, FLEX Investigators Group AACR ¹UT Health San Antonio, Mays MD Anderson Cancer Center, TX; ²Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL; ³Medical Cellege of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI; ⁴Texas Oncology Medical Center, San Antonio, TX; ⁵Ascension, Bartlett, IL; ⁶Vail Health, Vail, CO; ⁷Agendia Inc., Irvine, CA; ⁸Baylor University Medical Center, Texas Oncology and The US Oncology Medical Center, Dallas, TX

Introduction

ys Cancer Center UT Health MDAnderson

- Latin Americans (LA) are more likely to be diagnosed with aggressive early-stage breast cancer compared to Non-Hispanic White (NHW) in the US¹. Multiple factors such as metabolic and genetic may contribute to this.
- In a previous study², we identified upregulated immune pathway genes in Luminal B tumors from obese Black patients compared to NHW patients.
- In this study, we report transcriptomic profiles of breast tumors from LA and NHW patients enrolled in ELEX as well as their metabolic and genomic characteristics to enhance understanding of factors contributing to aggressive tumor biology in Latin patients.
- · We also compared transcriptome of tumors from Luminal B and obese LA and Black patients to assess population differences in high- risk groups.

Methods

FLEX trial and genomic testing:

- · FLEX (NCT03053193) is a prospective, observational trial that includes patients with stage I-III breast cancer.
- MammaPrint® (MP) is a 70-gene risk of distant recurrence signature that classifies patients into Low Risk (further stratified in UltraLow, Low) and High Risk (further stratified in High 1. High2) categories.
- BluePrint® (BP) is an 80-gene molecular subtyping signature, categorizes tumors as Luminal-, HER2- or Basal-Type. MP further groups Luminal into A (Low Risk) and B (High Risk)
- · ImPrint is a 53-gene immune signature that has been shown to predict the likelihood of achieving pCR with PD1-PDL1 immune checkpoint inhibitors3 in patients with HR+ disease.

Patients:

- Tumors from 311 LA and 311 NHW breast cancer patients enrolled in FLEX were matched by patient age, T-, N- stage and clinical subtype (ER/PR and HER2 status).
- In Luminal B obese subgroup, 61 LA and 61 Black patient tumors were matched using the same characteristics.

Statistical analyses:

· Arsenal R package was used for comparisons with chi-squared and fisher exact test based on number of patients. Two-proportions z-test was used for comparison of MP and BP result categories

Whole transcriptome analyses:

- Whole transcriptome comparisons were made, using limma R package, between LA and NHW patients stratified by BluePrint subtype Luminal and body-massindex (BMI) weight categories normal and obese.
- · Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was conducted using fgsea R package



Conclusion

- · Transcriptomic differences between matched tumors from Luminal B obese LA and NHW breast cancer patients showed upregulated immune response and downregulated oxidative phosphorylation in tumors of LA patients that may contribute to the aggressive tumor biology. Transcriptomic differences involving immune response were also observed between LA and Black natients
- Based on these results, obesity seems to affect LA and Black breast cancer biology differently than NHW patients. Immune system differences derived from genetic ancestry may be involved.
- · Further studies with larger cohorts are needed to confirm and to further study these pathway responses in LA natients

This presentation is the intellectual property of the author/presenter. Contact them (mazocanola@uthscsa.edu and william.audeh@agendia.com) for permission to reprint and/or distribute.

Results

GKV6-21

1 . . .

Table 1A and 1B:

- When matched for age and tumor characteristics, LA patients had higher percentages of the following compared to NHW:
- Type 2 diabetes (23 3% vs 8 5%)
- BMI obese (49.0% vs 39.4%) BluePrint Basal (14.8% vs 9.3%
- ImPrint+ in the HR+ subgroup (12.0% vs 5.1%)

Table 2:

 In the Luminal B obese cohort LA natients had higher percentages of ImPrint+ in the HR+ subgroup (18.5% vs 5.2%) when compared to Black patients.

Figure 1:

Top 500 variable genes showed separation of MP/BP subtypes. but no clear separation was observed based on ethnicity.

Figure 2A:

Whole transcriptome analysis of Luminal B obese group showed immunoglobulin genes upregulated more than 2-fold in LA compared to NHW, although not all genes statistically significant. There were no differentially expressed genes in other comparisons of LA vs NHW in Luminal and weight categories: Luminal A normal, Luminal A obese and Luminal B normal groups.

Figure 2B:

 Whole transcriptome comparison between LA and Black patients showed upregulation of PSPH gene which was observed in a previous study where Black and NHW Luminal patients were compared².

Figure 3:

Enrichment of interferon alpha/gamma response, allograft rejection and inflammatory response pathways were observed in the upregulated genes, while enrichment of oxidative phosphorylation and MYC targets v1 were observed in downregulated genes in LA compared to NHW patients. GSEA also revealed upregulated allograft rejection and interferon gamma response pathways in LA compared to Black patients. which could be in line with the ImPrint+ differences observed

References

- 1. Fejerman et al. Advancing the Science of Cancer in Latinos. Springer. 2020. Chapter 4
- 2. Hoskins et al. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2021. 39:15_suppl, 1009
 - 3. Mittempergher et al. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2022. 40:16_suppl, 514

Poster PS18-04. Presented at San Antonio Breast Cancer Symposium; December 5 - 9 2023; San Antonio, TX, USA.

ImPrint+

ImPrint-

Table 1 and 2:

Obese ≥ 30

10 (18.5%) 3 (5.2%)

Matching was done using age, T stage, N stage,

Unknowns were excluded from the tables, starting

BMI categories: Underweight BMI <18.5, Normal

18.5 ≤ BMI < 25, Overweight 25.0 ≤ BMI < 30,

* Two-proportions z-test in Basal group, p-value = 0.049

number of patients given in the Methods

hormone receptor (HR) status

44 (81.5%) 55 (94.8%)

0.028